

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/098,683 | 03/15/2002 | Gary Karlin Michelson | 101.0042-05000 | 7210 |
| 22882 7590 05/23/2008 MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP 1557 LAKE OPINES STREET, NE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TYSON, MELANIE RUANO | |
| HARTVILLE, | OH 44632 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3773 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| 10/098,683 | MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Melanie Tyson | 3773 |

| | Melanie Tyson | 3773 | l | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | vhich places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1 | | FIRST REPLT WAS FIL | TED MILLIN IAM | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee te action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | of the date of appeal. Since | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | ۲ OL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | cplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| /(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773 | /Melanie Tyson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: At the time the application was filed, the applicant rifield to disclose all liquid and solid fusion promoting materials. Applicant argues that both hydroxyapatite and fricalcium phosphate are generally used in solid form as a fusion promoting material, and BMP is generally used in liquid form as a fusion promoting material, and BMP is generally used in liquid form as a fusion promoting material and solid fusion promoting materials and "solid fusion promoting material" riman include all other liquid and solid fusion promoting material solid fusion promoting material and "solid fusion promoting material" may include all other liquid and solid fusion promoting material sother than those disclosed by the applicant at the time the invention was file. Therefore, the claims contain new matter and the rejection stands. Applicant further argues that Ray fails to disclose or suggest liquid and solid fusion materials provided in the holiow interior of the interbod sypinal fusion implant. However, dipping is a vell known method to cating implants, to ensure both the exterior and interior of the implant is coated with material, it is well within the general knowledge of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat Ray's implant by dipping in order to ensure the implant is completely coated, thus maximizing the effect of the coating materials.